

## Crooked beak in a 14-month-old ostrich (*Struthio camelus*) hen

Reported is a crooked beak in a grower Ostrich hen aged 14 months on an ostrich farm in Poland (Ferma Strusi Stypułów, 67-120 Kozuchów; 51°43'N, 15°33'E; July 2005). The torso, legs, wings and neck had normal dimensions. Both nostrils appeared anatomically normal and were located in the forward position on the beak. The upper and lower mandibles were aligned from the midpoint, 6 cm and 6.5 cm to the right and left, respectively (Fig. 1). Observations on the hen during feeding showed that it did not efficiently scoop up feed, much of it sliding out of the right side of her mouth. This also happened during drinking which could potentially add to heat-stress. The bird would, therefore, spend longer periods feeding and drinking than normal. This could potentially lower egg productivity due to inadequate nutrition. Sufficient quantities of calcium (2.0–3.5 %) need to be included in the breeder ration to maintain a lay of about 55 eggs per breeding season<sup>1</sup>. The bird weighed 68 kg, a value considerably lower than the body weight of hens of the same age with normal beaks (91.3 (mean) ± 5.01 (SD) kg)<sup>3</sup>. The bird would not



Fig. 1: Crooked beak in an ostrich (*Struthio camelus*) grower.

be useful for slaughter given a low meat mass.

Crooked beaks were observed in some ostrich chicks on the farm. Cooper and Horbańczuk<sup>2</sup> have associated this with a combined vitamin D<sub>3</sub> and selenium deficiency. Vitamin D and folic acid deficiencies are responsible for deformed beaks

particularly of the upper mandible, as suggested in the current case. Clearly the farm has a problem with unbalanced nutrition, which needs correcting to avoid further losses. Additionally, according to Huchzermeyer<sup>5</sup> and Horbańczuk<sup>4</sup>, the incidence of crooked beak could also be a genetic defect. In such cases, it is advisable to eliminate breeders which produce chicks with crooked beaks. If fed pellets, such birds may only be designated for slaughter.

### References

1. Cooper R G, Erlwanger K, Mahroze K M 2005 Nutrition of ostrich (*Struthio camelus* var. *domesticus*) breeder birds. *Animal Science Journal* 76: 5–10
2. Cooper R G, Horbańczuk J O 2004 Ostrich nutrition: a review from a Zimbabwean perspective. *Revue Scientifique et Technique (International Office of Epizootics)* 23(3): 1033–1042
3. Cooper R G, Horbańczuk J O In press Comparative sex differences in locomotion and gait, and tibiotarsal rotation in ostriches (*Struthio camelus* var. *domesticus*) – a preliminary study. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*
4. Horbańczuk J O 2002 *The Ostrich*. European Ostrich Group, Denmark
5. Huchzermeyer F W 2000 *Ostrich diseases*. Agricultural Research Council, Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute, South Africa

R G Cooper<sup>a\*</sup> and J O Horbańczuk<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Division of Physiology, UCE Birmingham, Baker Building, Room 701, Franchise Street, Perry Barr, Birmingham B42 2SU, West Midlands, UK

<sup>b</sup>Institute of Genetics and Animal Breeding, Polish Academy of Sciences, Jastrzębiec, Poland

\*Author for correspondence. E-mail: rgcooperuk@yahoo.com